

Norbert OSTROWSKI

Uniwersytet im. Adama Mickiewicza w Poznaniu

OLD LITHUANIAN INTERROGATIVE PARTICLE *biau*

The OLith. interrogative particle *biau* occurs three times in the *Preface* to Mažvydas' Catechismus (10_{21, 22, 24}, cf. Urbas 1996). Apart from *biau*, Old Lithuanian possessed an interrogative particle *bau(gi)*. Traditionally it is said that *biau* and *bau* stem from *be* and *ba* with added enclitic *-u* as in Greek πάντα ‘altogether’ (Fraenkel 1962–1965, 37) and they are traced back respectively to **be-u* and **ba-u*. However, the explanation *bau* < **ba-u* is highly debatable, as *ba* occurs rarely and always in postposition, cf. *ar-ba* ‘or’¹ : *ar* (interrogative particle), *juo-ba* ‘more’² and *da-ba-r* ‘yet; now’³. The question words *ba*, *bo* and *bu*, which are attested in dialects (Zinkevičius 1966, 435), are too rare and evidenced too late to be taken into account.

Rosemarie Lühr (1995, 125) argued for the dual development of the IE diphthong *eu* in Baltic (cf. Stang 1966, 73f.) and traced back both *biau* and *bau* to **be-u*. However, the hypothesis that there were two kinds of development of IE *eu* in Baltic is over 100 years old (Berneker 1899) and has still not met with general approval.

In order to avoid this etymology it is claimed that *biau* stems from *be-jau*, a form testified in the dictionary of Antanas Juška (LKŽ 1, 735). A parallel is provided by Lith. *niaūgi* ‘really?’ (LKŽ 8, 762), a shortened form of *ne-jaū(gi)* ‘really?’. Both *niaūgi* and *nejaū(gi)* originate from conflation of the negation *ne* with postposed *-jau* and *-gi*; their synonym is *ne-gi* ‘really?’, cf.:

¹ Probably a calque of East Slavic *mu-бo* ‘or’ (Ostrowski 2009, 65).

² The Lith. adverb *juo* / *juo-ba* ‘more’ arose in comparative clauses of proportion, e.g. **juo daugiau juo(ba) linksmiau** ‘the more the merrier’ (Latv. *jo... jo...*), cf. Ostrowski (2009, 65). It is safe to assume that the same type of clauses gave rise to Latv. *jo*, a marker of comparative in Latvian dialects, e.g. (*vēl*) *juo labs* ‘better’ : *labs* ‘good’ (Bušmane 1989, 186–188).

³ Etymologically it is a conflation of three elements: coordinative conjunction *da* ‘and’, particle *-ba-* and deictic particle *-r* (Hermann 1926, 352; Ostrowski 2009).

- (1) *Nejaūgi / nejaū / negi tù skir-s-ie-s su manim?*
 INTR INTR INTR you divorce:FUT-2SG-RFL with I:INST.SG
 ‘Will you really divorce me (= I can’t believe it)?’

(Ambraszas et al. 1997, 400)

Interrogative particle *be* and its variants *be-gu*, *be-g*, *be-s* are well documented in Lithuanian, cf. ***Be*** *tu žinai?* ‘Do you know?’ (LKŽ 1, 703), ***Bèg būsi mano žentas?*** ‘Will you become my son-in-law?’ (LKŽ 1, 713), ***Bæs netiki tu / kaip eſch negalieczio mana Tiewa praschiti (...)*** (VEE 192, 14–15) ‘Oder meinstu / das ich nicht kündte meinen Vater bitten (...)’ (Luther 1545) // ‘Thinkest thou that I cannot now pray to my father (...)’ (Matthew 26:53 – King James Bible).

As far as the origin of the question particle *be* is concerned, its connection with the coordinative conjunction *be* ‘and’ (OPr. <bhe> /be/ ‘and’)⁴ must be considered, e.g.:

- (2) *Aš be tu ei-si-va medžio-ti.* (LKŽ 1, 703)
 I and you go:FUT.1DUAL hunt:INF
 ‘You and I (we two) will go hunting.’

The role of rhetorical questions in the reanalysis of coordinating conjunctions as question particle was discussed by Lühr (1995, 125ff.) and although details remain unclear, an analogy for the change from coordinative conjunction to question word is found in the development of the Lithuanian conjunction *bei* ‘and’, which in dialects is also used as a complementizer in interrogative sentences:

- (3) *Ka-žin, bei bū-s-i matęs?* (LKŽ 1, 733)
 who knows INTR be:FUT.2SG see:PTC.PST.ACT.NOM.SG.M
 ‘Who knows if you’ll see [it]?’

As for *jaū* following question words cf. (4)–(5):

- (4) *Kas jaū, kas mano mažyt-i skriaudž?* (LKŽ 4, 294)
 who FOC who my baby:ACC.SG harm:PRS
 ‘Who is this, who harms my baby?’
- (5) *Kas jaū táu at-si-tik-o?* (Otrebski 1956, 362)
 what FOC you:DAT.SG PRV-RFL-happen:PST
 ‘What happened to you?’

⁴ *Be* as coordinative conjunction is rare in Lithuanian, but the cognate Old Prussian <bhe> /be/ ‘and’ is widely attested.

The postpositioned *-jau* as a FOCUS MARKER, more precisely as a focus marker in the function of ‘emphatic assertion of identity’⁵ is broadly attested in Old Lithuanian texts, cf. Ostrowski (2011). Here only one example conveying the functional similarity of *-jau*, *-ja*⁶ and *-ai*:

- (6) *Bat' tof-iáu* *n̄g-gédž-ios* *âk-is* / (...) /
 but that:NOM.PL.F-FOC NEG-shy:NOM.PL.F eye:NOM.PL
- tafs-iáa* *liežúw-is* (DP 7.54)
 that:NOM.SG.M-FOC tongue:NOM.SG
- wil-qf-is* / *tafs-ái* *piłw-as*
 betray:PTC.PRS.ACT.NOM.SG.M-RFL that:NOM.SG.M-FOC belly:NOM.SG
- n̄g pa-fsótin-t-as* / (...) / *ir*
 NEG PRV-satiate-PTC.PRS.PASS-NOM.SG.M and
- tie-ia-g* *pát-is* *fánar-iei* *kur-ié*
 that:NOM.PL.M-FOC-FOC same:NOM.PL.M limb:NOM.PL.M which:NOM.PL.M
- tarnáw-o* (...) *kreiwúmo-p* / *tie pát-is* *o* *ne* *kit-i*
 serve:PST immoral deed:ALL.SG the same and NEG other:NOM.PL.M
- pa-kél-s* *káncž-iq* *ir* *koróim-q* /
 PRV-experience:FUT torture:ACC.SG and punishment:ACC.SG.M
- kur-i* *nu-pełn-e.* (DP 8.1-3)
 which:ACC.SG.M PRV-deserve:PST

Pol. ‘Ale **te iste** wszeteczne oczy / (...) / **ten** ięzyk zdradliwy/ **ten** brzuch nie-nasycony/ (...) / y **też iste** członki które służyły ku nieprawości / te same a nie insze podejmą mękę y karanie/ ktore zasłużyły.’

‘But **exactly those** shameless eyes, (...), **the very** tongue which betrays, **precisely that** insatiable belly, (...), and **exactly those** limbs that served immoral deeds, the same, not other ones will experience the torture and punishment they deserved.’

⁵ The term ‘emphatic assertion of identity’ was coined by Ekkehard König (1991, 125ff.) and pertains to such particles as Germ. *eben*, *genau*, *ausgerechnet*, Eng. *exactly*, *precisely*, thus to such words that “are (...) used emphatically to assert the identity of one argument in a proposition with an argument in a different, contextually given proposition” (König 1991, 127).

⁶ On the origin of the postpositioned *-ja* see Ostrowski (*forthcoming*).

Finally, a short comment on the origin of *bau*(*gi*). Probably it is a result of depalatalization, extremely rare in the case of Lithuanian labial consonants (cf. Zinkevičius 1966, 168), but in *bau* > *bau* determined by usage frequency. Of course, this hypothesis cannot be proved due to the late beginning of written documents in Lithuanian.

SENOΣIOS LIETUVIŲ KALBOS KLAUSIAMOJI DALELYTĖ *bau*

Santrauka

Paliudyta tris kartus Mažvydo *Katekizmo* pratarmėje dalelytė *bau* ‘ar’ dažniausiai buvo aiškinama kaip klausiamosios dalelytės *be* su enklitiku *-u* junginys, plg. gr. πάντα ‘altogether’. Mūsų nuomone, *bau* lengviausia paaiškinti kaip ankstesnės lyties *be-jau* sutrumpinimo rezultatą, plg. panašų procesą *niaū-gi* (LKŽ 8, 762) < *ne-jaū(gi)*. Lyti *be-jau* ‘ar, argi’ randame Antano Juškos žodyne (žr. LKŽ 1, 735). Postpozicinė dalelytė *-jau* kaip reminė dalelytė yra plačiai paliudyta tarmėse ir senuosiuose tekstuose.

ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|---------------------|----------------------|
| ACC – accusative | INTR – interrogative |
| ACT – active | M – masculine |
| ADV – adverb | NEG – negation |
| ALL – allative | NOM – nominative |
| DAT – dative | PL – plural |
| DUAL – dual | PRV – preverb |
| F – feminine | RFL – reflexive |
| FOC – focus | PST – past |
| FUT – future | PRS – present |
| INF – infinitive | PTC – participle |
| INST – instrumental | SG – singular |

REFERENCES

- Ambrasas, Vytautas (red.) 1997, *Lithuanian grammar*, Vilnius: Baltos lankos.
Berneker, Emil 1899, Von der Vertretung des idg. ēu im baltisch-slavischen Sprachzweig, *Indogermanische Forschungen* 10, 145–147.

- Bušmane, Brigita 1989, *Nīcas izloksne*, Rīga: Zinātne.
- DP – *Postilla Catholicka. Tāi est: Ižguldimas Ewangeliu kiekwienos Nedelos ir szwētes per wissús metús. Per Kūnigą Mikaloiv Davkszą Kanoniką Médnikų...* 1599, in Jonas Palionis (red.), *Mikalojaus Daukšos 1599 metų Postilė ir jos šaltiniai*, Vilnius: Baltos lankos, 2000.
- Fraenkel, Ernst 1962–1965, *Litauisches etymologisches Wörterbuch* 1–2, Heidelberg: Carl Winter.
- Hermann, Eduard 1926, *Litauische Studien. Eine historische Untersuchung schwachbetonten Wörter im Litauischen*, Berlin: Weidmannsche Buchhandlung.
- König, Ekkehard 1991, *The meaning of focus particles. A Comparative Perspective*, London and New York: Routledge.
- LKŽ – *Lietuvių kalbos žodynas* 1–20 (1968–2002), Vilnius.
- Lühr, Rosemarie 1995, Zur Umfunktionierung von UND und ODER zu Fragesatzpartikeln im Litauischen, *Linguistica Baltica* 4, 119–130.
- Mž – Giedrius Subačius (red.), *Martynas Mažvydas. Katekizmas ir kiti raštai*, Vilnius: Baltos lankos, 1995.
- Ostrowski, Norbert 2009, Litewskie *dabar* ‘jeszcze, teraz’, *Prace Bałtyckie* 4, 57–70.
- Ostrowski, Norbert 2011, On the origin of the Lithuanian *tačiau* ‘but, however, yet’, *Lingua Posnaniensis* 53(2), 75–81 (<http://versita.com/lp>).
- Ostrowski, Norbert (*forthcoming*), On the postpositioned neuter pronoun *-ja* in Baltic, in Artūras Judžentis (ed.), *Baltai ir slavai: dvasinių kultūrų sankirtos. Tarptautinės mokslo konferencijos, skirtos akademikui Vladimirui Toporovui pagerbti, straipsnių rinkinys*, Vilnius.
- Otrębski, Jan 1956, *Gramatyka języka litewskiego* 3: *Nauka o formach*, Warszawa: PWN.
- Stang, Christian S. 1966, *Vergleichende Grammatik der baltischen Sprachen*, Oslo: Universitetsvorlaget.
- Urbas, Dominykas 1996, *Martyno Mažvydo raštų žodynas*, Vilnius: Mokslo ir enciklopedijų leidykla.
- VEE – Baltramiejus Willentas, *Enchiridion* (VE) and *Euangelias bei Epistolas*, Karalaučius, 1579, in Adalbert Bezenberger (Hrsg.), *Litauische und Lettische Drucke des 16. Jahrhunderts* 3, Göttingen: Robert Peppmüller, 1882.
- Zinkevičius, Zigmas 1966, *Lietuvių dialektologija*, Vilnius: Mintis.

*Norbert OSTROWSKI
 Instytut Językoznawstwa
 Zakład Bałtykii
 Uniwersytet im. Adama Mickiewicza w Poznaniu
 al. Niepodległości 4
 PL-61-874, Poznań
 Poland
 [norbertas@poczta.onet.pl]*