

Václav BLAŽEK
Masaryk University

A BALTO-SLAVIC KEY TO THE ETYMOLOGY OF TOCHARIAN B *twār*

A purpose of the present contribution is to offer a new etymology of the Tocharian B adverb *twār* “for this reason, consequently”.

1. The Tocharian B adverb *twār* is attested in two texts¹:

*mā şše nta kca cmelane ñem ra klyaussi kälpāwa **twār** ş postaññe (:) krent käşsintsə meňkitse yolaiñesa mā şše nta aşkār śmāwa :*

(Hoernle's collection 149.26/30; IOL Toch 5 | b2 | 17 – text: TEB 2, 64)

“Not a single time in births did I hear the name; and **consequently** later, lacking a good teacher, by evil, not a single time I come back.”

(Adams 1999, 323)

“Not even once in the births have I got to hear [this] name, and **therefore** afterwards, lacking a good teacher, I have not once stood back because of evil.”

(Peyrot [<http://www.univie.ac.at/tocharian/?IOL Toch 5>])

*tumen Çandramukhe w[alo] şecakecce asānne şmemane **twār** şpä Arañemiñ werpiškacce cä[rkenta]*

(THT 91 | b5 | 10)

“Thereupon ki(ng) Candramukha, sitting on the lion-throne and **for this reason** (beholding?) the gardener Arañemi (carrying) ga(rlands) ...”

(Malzahn [<http://www.univie.ac.at/tocharian/?THT%209>]])

2. The word *twār* has been translated as follows:

“deshalb, infolgedessen” (TEB 2, 201);

“pour cette raison, à la suite de quoi” (Van Windekkens 1976, 519);

“consequently” (Adams 1999, 323);

“for this reason, consequently” (Malzahn [<http://www.univie.ac.at/tocharian/?tw%C4%81r>]).

¹ See <http://www.univie.ac.at/tocharian/?tw%C4%81r>

3. Till the present time three etymologies have been offered:

3.1. Derivation from **duyār*, with respect to Greek δηρός, Doric δᾶρός “(too) long, lasting long”, Armenian *erkar* “long” (Van Windekkens 1976, 519). But since the Greek and Armenian initials are **d*-, in Tocharian it would be simplified as *w*-, while the *o*-stem implies the final *-e*, as mentioned by Van Windekkens himself.

3.2. Tocharian B adverb *tu* “here” + *-ār* forming distributive numerals (Klingensmitt 1994, 325).

3.3. Tocharian B neuter *tu* “this one, it” + *-ār* forming distributive numerals, e.g. *wyār* “by twos” (Adams 1999, 323). Pinault (2008, 324–325) mentions that the adverb in *-ār* from the anaphoric demonstrative is very doubtful. He assumes the postposition **ār* with respect to the adverb with the distributive function in A *ārts*, B *artsa*, cf. A *ārts kom*, B *artsa kaum* “Tag für Tag/every day/quotidie”, *artsa ywarca meñ* “halbmonatlich, jeden halben Monat” (TEB 2, 82, 164; Carling 2009, 50).

4. A new solution is inspired by the following forms in Baltic and Slavic languages, all derivatives of the IE root **tuerH-* (Trautmann 1923, 333–234; Pokorný 1959, 1101; LIV, 656).

4.1.1. Lithuanian *tvérti* : *tvéria* : *tvériaū* “to create, produce, form, compose; fence; last, continue; endure, hold out”; Latvian *tveřt* : *tveřu* : *tvēru* “to catch, keep, hold, be sufficient”; cf. also Lithuanian *tvirótí* “to last, continue” (Fraenkel 1962–1965, 1152, 1154; Smoczyński 2007, 698; ME 4, 290–291). The deverbal derivatives are characterized by **ē*, **o* & **ō* root vowels respectively: Lithuanian *tvérė* “Strick”; *patvarà* “Ausdauer, Beharrlichkeit, Standfestigkeit”, Prussian *coaris*, i.e. /*twāris*/ “banse” = “weiter Scheunenraum der Tenne”; Lithuanian *tvorà* “Zaun, Grenzmauer, Hecke”, Latvian *tvāra* “ein Zaun aus horizontal liegenden Stangen” (Fraenkel 1962–1965, 1149–1150, 1152, 1155; Smoczyński 2007, 700; ME 4, 289; Mažiulis 1993, 234–237; Toporov 1984, 101–103).

4.1.2. Lithuanian *turéti* : *turiù* : *turéjau* “to have, possess, hold; must, should, ought”, Latvian *turēt* : *turu* : *turēju* “to hold, protect”, dial. “to have, possess”, Prussian *turít* “to have” (Fraenkel 1962–1965, 1142–1143; Smoczyński 2007, 695–696; ME 4, 268; Mažiulis 1997, 204–205).

4.2.1. Slavic **tvorj* : **tvoriti* “to create, originate, form” > Old Church Slavonic *tvoriti* “to do, make, arrange, stimulate”, Bulgarian *tvorjá*, Macedonian *tvori*, Serbo-Croatian *tvòriti*, Slovenian *tvoriti*, Slovak *tvoríť*, Czech *tvorít*, Upper Sorbian *tworić*, Lower Sorbian *tworiš*, Kashubian *twořęć*, Polish *tworzyć*, Belorussian *tvarýć*, Ukrainian *tworýty*, Russian *творить*. The

form **tvoriti* represents the original iterative to the unattested Slavic verb *+tverti*, exactly corresponding to Lithuanian *tvérti* and Latvian *tvežt*. The deverbal derivative is the noun **tvorъ* > Old Church Slavonic *tvorъ* “act, deed”, Serbo-Croatian, Slovenian *tvôr*, Pomerian Slovincian *tvör*, Belarusian *tvor*, Ukrainian *tvir* “creation, work”, Slovak, Czech *tvor*, Polish *twór* “creature, living being”, Old Czech *tvor* “aspect, kind”, Old Russian *tvorъ* “appearance, look” (Vasmer 1987, 33; Sadnik, Aitzetmüller 1955, 140, 324; ESJS 17 [ms.]).

4.2.2. Slavic **tvarъ* > Macedonian *tvar*, Slovak *tvár*, Czech *tvář* “face”, Polish *twarz* “id.”, Belorussian *tvar* “id.”, Ukrainian *tvář* “face; animal”, besides Old Church Slavonic *tvarъ* “creation, creating, making, deed, act; form, nature”, Bulgarian *tvar* “creating, creature”, Serbo-Croatian *tvâr* “id.”, Slovenian *tvâr* “mass”, Upper Sorbian *twar* “building, form”, Lower Sorbian *twar̄* “building”, Old Russian *tvarъ* “creating; product, object” (ZVSZ 383; Vasmer 1987, 32). Slavic **tvarъ* reflects formally the *i*-stem **tyōr(H)i-*, but it may be a secondary extension of a root noun **tyorHs* > **tyōr* (cf. LIV, 115: **dómH₂s* > **dóm* “building, house”). This shift is typical for Balto-Slavic languages (cf. Brugmann 1906, 141). Such a solution easily explains the long root vowel which is characteristic just for root nouns, originally appearing only in the nom. sg. (Lex Szemerényi). Sometimes the length expanded into the whole paradigm, e.g. in Germanic **fōt-* “foot”.

5. An analogous scenario could be proposed for the Tocharian B adverb *twär*, explainable as the original loc. sg. **tyōr(H)-i* (cf. Van Windekkens 1976, 130; 1979, 280 on adverbs in **-i*). Alternatively it is possible to think about the adverbial derivation in **-ōr* > Tocharian AB *-ār* and following haplogogy.

6. The semantic motivation of the hypothetical Tocharian root noun and its grammaticalized derivative may be parallel to the following Slavic examples with their Latin semantic counterparts and their grammaticalized derivatives, chosen for their transparency:

6.4. Old Church Slavonic *tvorъ* “act, deed”, *tvarъ* “creation, creating, making, deed, act; form, nature”, cf. Latin *in āctu* “in reality” : *āctus* “deed, doing, act”, besides the fossilized verbal formation *igitur* “in that case, then”, “deshalb, folglich” < **agētor* “it is done” (de Vaan 2008, 297), all from *agō* : *agere* “to drive, lead; do, make”.

6.2. Common Slavic **tvarъ* “face, form, shape”, cf. Latin *species* “sight, look; form, figure, shape; appearance” : *in speciem* “after the manner, in the fashion, like”.

7. Summary

From the point of view of word formation and semantics, it seems the Tocharian B adverb *twār* “for this reason, consequently” is etymologizable with help of the Balto-Slavic verb **tuerH-* “to create, produce, form, compose; hold; continue” and its Slavic nominal derivatives as Old Church Slavonic *tvorъ* “act, deed”, *tvarъ* “creation, creating, making, deed, act; form, nature”. The original starting point of the Tocharian word could be the loc. sg. **t̥uōrH-i* of the root noun **t̥uorHs* > **t̥uōr^o*.

BALTŲ-SLAVŲ RAKTAS Į TOCH. B *twār* ETIMOLOGIJĄ

Santrauka

Žodžių darybos ir semantikos požiūriu toch. B adv. *twār* gali būti etimologizuojamas remiantis baltų-slavų veiksmažodžiu **tuerH-* ‘kurti, daryti, formuoti; laikyti; tęsti’ ir iš jo išvestais slavų vardažodžiais s. sl. *tvorъ* ‘veiksmas, poelgis’, *tvarъ* ‘kūrimas, darymas, poelgis, veiksmas; pavidalas, prigimtis’. Toch. žodžiui pradžią galėjo duoti šakninio vardažodžio **t̥uōr-* loc. sg. forma **t̥uōr-i*.

REFERENCES

- Adams, Douglas Q. 1999, *A dictionary of Tocharian B*, Amsterdam, Atlanta: Rodopi.
- Brugmann, Karl 1906, *Grundriss der vergleichenden Grammatik der indogermanischen Sprachen 2(1)*, Strassburg: Trübner.
- Carling, Gerd 2009, *Dictionary and thesaurus of Tocharian A 1*, Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- ESJS – Ilona Janyšková (ed.), *Etymologický slovník jazyka staroslověnského 17*, Tribun EU 2014 (manuscript).
- Fraenkel, Ernst 1962–1965, *Litauisches etymologisches Wörterbuch 1–2*, Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Heidelberg: Winter.
- Klingenschmitt, Gert 1994, Das Tocharische in indogermanistischer Sicht, in Bernfried Schlerath (Hrsg.), *Tocharisch. Akten der Fachtagung der Indogermanischen Gesellschaft* (Berlin, September 1990), Reykjavík: Málvísindastofnun Háskola Íslands (= *Tocharian and Indo-European Studies. Supplementary Series 4*), 310–411.
- Lalis, Anthony 1915, *A dictionary of the Lithuanian and English languages*. 3rd edition, Chicago: Lietuva (<http://archive.org/details/LithuanianEnglishDictionary>).
- LIV – Helmut Rix (Hrsg.), *Lexikon der indogermanischen Verben*. Zweite, erweiterte und verbesserte Auflage, Wiesbaden: Reichert, 2001.
- Mažiulis, Vytautas 1993, *Prūsų kalbos etimologijos žodynas 2*, Vilnius: Mokslo ir enciklopedijų leidykla.

Mažiulis, Vytautas 1997, *Prūsų kalbos etimologijos žodynas* 4, Vilnius: Mokslo ir enciklopedijų leidybos institutas.

ME – K. Mülenbacha *Latviešu valodas vārdnīca*, redīgējis, papildinājis, turpinājis (/ nobeidzis – 4) Jānis Endzelins, 1–4, Riga, 1923–1932.

Pinault, Georges-Jean 2008, *Chrestomathie tokharienne. Textes et grammaire*, Leuven, Paris: Peeters.

Pokorný, Julius 1959, *Indogermanisches etymologisches Wörterbuch*, Bern, München: Francke.

Sadnik, Linda, Rudolf Aitzetmüller 1955, *Handwörterbuch zu den altkirchenslavischen Texten*, Heidelberg: Winter.

Smoczyński, Wojciech 2007, *Słownik etymologiczny języka litewskiego*, Wilno: Uniwersytet Wileński.

TEB 2 – Werner Thomas, *Tocharisches Elementarbuch 2: Texte und Glossar*, Heidelberg: Winter, 1964.

Toporov, Vladimir Nikolaevič 1984, *Prusskij jazyk. Slovar'* 4 (K-L), Moskva: Nauka.

Trautmann, Reinhold 1923[1970], *Baltisch-Slavisches Wörterbuch*, Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.

de Vaan, Michiel 2008, *Etymological dictionary of Latin and other Italic languages*, Leiden, Boston: Brill.

Van Windekens, Albert J. 1976, *Le tokharien confronté avec les autres langues indo-européennes 1: La phonétique et le vocabulaire*, Louvain: Centre International de Dialectologie Générale.

Van Windekens, Albert J. 1979, *Le tokharien confronté avec les autres langues indo-européennes 2(1): La morphologie nominale*, Louvain: Centre International de Dialectologie Générale.

Vasmer, Max [Maks Fasmer] 1987, *Étimologičeskij slovar' russkogo jazyka* 4 (translated from German by Oleg N. Trubačev), Moskva: Progress.

ZVSZ – František Kopečný (ed.), *Základní všeobecná slovní zásoba*, Praha: Academia, 1981.

Acknowledgment

The present study was prepared thanks to a grant from the The Czech Science Foundation (GAČR), P406/12/0655. The author would like to express his gratitude to John Bengtson for correction of English.

Václav BLAŽEK

Department of Linguistics and Baltic Studies

Faculty of Arts, Masaryk University

A. Nováka 1

CZ-60200 Brno

Czech Republic

[blazek@phil.muni.cz]