

Václav BLAŽEK  
Masaryk University

**LATVIAN *Ūsiņš* ‘BEE-GOD AND PATRON OF HORSES’**

The first information about the Latvian deity *Ūsiņš*, variants *Ūsenis*, *Ūsinis* (ME 4, 409–11; Ivanov, Toporov 1988) was related by the Jesuit Joannis Stribingius in his 1606 report on missions in the Latvian part of Livonia (see LPG, 442): *Deo Equorum, quem vocant Dewing Vschinge, offerunt singuli 2 solidos et duos panes et frustum pinguedinis, quem in ignem conijciunt.* “They sacrifice to the God of horses, whom they call *Deviņ Ūsiņe*, each two pieces of money and two pieces of bread and a bit of fat which they throw into the fire.” The motif of horses connected with *Ūsiņš* also appears in Latvian dainas (LD ##30082, 30054, 30086, 30079; Jonval 1929, 138–39):

*Ūsiņš jāja pieguļā  
Ar deviņi kumeliņi.  
Es tev lūdzu, Ūsiņ brāl,  
Dod man pāri ceļa zirgu.*

*Ei, Ūsiņ, labais vīrs,  
Jāj ar mani pieguļā;  
Es guntiņas kūrējiņš,  
Tu kumeļu ganītājs.*

*Ūsēns stāvu slaištījās,  
Muna staļļa galiņā.  
Ai, Ūsiņ, vecais tēvs,  
Jāsam abi pieguļā;  
Es guntiņas kūrējiņš,  
Tu zirdziņu ganītājs.*

*Ūsiņam zirgi zoviedza,  
Man jājot pieguļā;  
Māršavai govis māva,  
Man ganos izdzenot.*

*Ūsiņš rode to night pasture  
With nine horses.  
I pray you, brother Ūsiņš,  
give me a pair of saddled horses.*

*O Ūsiņš, good man,  
Ride with me to night pasture.  
I to stoke the fire  
You to tend the horses.*

*Ūsiņš stood idle  
at the end of my stable.  
O Ūsiņš, old father,  
Let us both ride to night pasture,  
I to stoke the fire,  
You to tend the horses.*

*The horses neighed to Ūsiņš  
As I was riding to night pasture.  
The cows mooed to Māršava  
As I was driving them out to pasture.*

The author of *Lettische Grammatik* with a special chapter *Lettische Mythologie* (Mitau / Jelgava 1783) and *Lettisches Lexikon* (Mitau / Jelgava 1789), and one of the first collectors of Latvian folklore, Gotthard F. Stender (1714–1796), recorded (see LPG, 625): *Uhsinsch, swehts uhsinsch der Bienen Gott, von uhas, der Bienen gelbe Wachshosen*, i.e. “Ūsinš, svēts ūsiņš – the bee-god; from *ūzas* ‘trousers’, bee’s yellow wax trousers”. It is clear that Stender’s *Volksetymologie* is mistaken. Latvian *ūzas* “trousers” represents a relatively young adaptation of the Swedish word *huso* “long trousers”. Formally closer is Latvian *ūsas* “moustache”, adj. *ūsainis*, *ūsains*, but together with Lithuanian *ūsaĩ*, Estonian *ūz*, they were borrowed from Russian *usy* pl. “moustache”. Further, there is no connection between “moustache” and the ‘god of bees and horses’<sup>1</sup>. Thus a new solution is necessary. A satisfactory candidate for comparison may be a personage from the Rigveda called *Auśijá-*, appearing in hymns devoted to the divine twin-riders, the *Aśvins*; from a wider context it is apparent that *Auśijá-* is their protégé. Let us compare the following two passages and their translations:

*yáb<sup>h</sup>iḥ sudānū auśijāya vaṇije dīrg<sup>h</sup>áśravase mād<sup>h</sup>u kóso ákṣarat /  
kaksīvantaṃ stotāraṃ yáb<sup>h</sup>ir ávataṃ táb<sup>h</sup>ir ū śú ūtib<sup>h</sup>ir aśviná gatam*

(RV 1, 112.11)

“Whereby the cloud, ye Bounteous Givers, shed sweet rain for  
Dīrg<sup>h</sup>áśravas, for the merchant Auśija,  
Wherewith ye helped Kaksīvān, singer of your praise,  
Come hither unto us, O Aśvins, with those aids.”

(Griffith 1889[1987] 1, 155)

“Durch die, ihr Gabenschönen, für den Kaufmann Dirghasravas,  
den Sohn der Usij, die Kufe Honig träuften,  
mit denen ihr dem Sänger Kaksivat beistandet,  
- mit diesen Hilfen kommt doch ja her, ihr Asvin!”

(Geldner 1951–1957 [[http://www.thombar.de/1\\_lk.htm](http://www.thombar.de/1_lk.htm)])

---

<sup>1</sup> J. Müthel, pastor of Cesvaine, in a letter to bishop Ulmann dated July 1870, tried to explain this connection by identifying *Ūsiņš* with the knight Saint George, depicted with a bearded face. He noted that for Latvians April 23 was a feast for both *Ūsiņš* and Saint George (see Biezais 1976, 21, 34; the identification of *Ūsiņš* and Saint George was first documented by Lange 1772, 363).

«Какими (силами), о вы двое с прекрасными дарами, для купца Диргхашраваса,  
Сына Усидж, бочка сочилась медом,  
Какими - вы помогли восхвалителю Какшиванту,  
С этими самыми поддержками приходите сюда, о Ашвины!»

(Elizarenkova 1999, 135)

“Mit welchen (Hilfen), ihr Gabenschöne, für den Kaufman Dīrghaśravas,  
den Sohn des Uśij, das Faß von Honig floß,  
mit denen ihr dem Sänger Kākṣivān halfet,  
- mit den Hilfen, ihr Aśvins, kommt doch recht her!”

(Witzel, Gotō 2007, 197)

*utá syá vām mād<sup>h</sup>uman mákṣikārapan máde sómasyauśijó huvanyati  
yuvám dad<sup>h</sup>ícó mána á vivāsat<sup>h</sup>ó ,t<sup>h</sup>ā śírah<sup>h</sup> práti vām áśvyaṃ vadat*

(RV 1, 119.9)

“To you in praise of sweetness sang the honey-bee: Auśija calleth  
you in Soma’s rapturous joy.  
Ye drew unto yourselves the spirit of Dadhyac, and then the  
horse’s head uttered his words to you.”

(Griffith 1889[1987] 1, 173)

“Und euch verriet jene Fliege die Süßigkeit.  
In der Begeisterung des Soma sagte es der Ausija laut:  
Ihr gewinnet des Dadhyac Sinn  
und der Pferdekopf stand vor euch Rede.”

(Geldner 1951–1957 [[http://www.thombar.de/1\\_1k.html](http://www.thombar.de/1_1k.html)])

«А та пчела прошептала вам связанное с медом.  
В опьянении сомой сын Усидж громко говорит:  
‘Вы хотите расположить мысль Дадхьянча.’  
Тогда конская голова вам поведала.»

(Elizarenkova 1999, 148)

“Und für euch schwatzte jene Fliege hier aus, was der Honig enthält.  
Im Rausch des Soma sagt (es) der Auśija laut:  
Ihr versucht den Gedanken des Dadhyañc herauszugewinnen.  
Dann redet der einem Pferd gehörige Kopf euch entgegen.”

(Witzel, Gotō 2007, 218)

These two passages, in spite of their ambiguous translations, indicate a relation of *Auśijá-* to honey and bees. And the verse about the horse's head is revealing. For Ralph Griffith (1889[1987] 1, 173), one of translators of the Vedic texts, it a reason to identify *Auśijá-* with the honeybee. This double functional parallelism (horse & honeybee) in both Latvian and Vedic traditions supports the etymological correspondence between *Ūsiņš* and *Auśijá-*, as opposed to the solution of Elizarenkova and Toporov (1964, 66–84) who seek in *Ūsiņš* a connection with the root *\*aus-*, designating the morning star in Baltic traditions, e.g. Lithuanian *Aušrinė*, Latvian *Auseklis*. From the etymological point of view, *Auśijá-* is a patronymic derivative from Vedic *uśij-* ‘epithet of sacrificers and also of the god Agni’, corresponding to Avestan *usi-* designating ‘sacrificer rejecting the Zoroastrian religion’, lit. probably “incantator”. The derivational suffix *-ij-* appears e.g. in Vedic *vañij-* “merchant”, *bhurij-* “arm” etc. (Brugmann 1906, 510). The root proper has been connected with a verb of the type Vedic *vaś-* / *uś-* “to will, command; desire, wish, long for”. Bailey (1979, 279) demonstrated that the probable meaning of the Avestan word “incantator” is better compatible with the Indo-Iranian verb expressing various human and animal sounds, including “singing” and “praying”: Vedic *vās-* “to roar, howl, bellow, sing (of birds)”, Khovar *bašeik* “to sing”; Avestan *vās-* “to bellow”, Khotanese *bāsā* “noise”, Sogdian *w’s(y)* “to shout”, *γwβty’ ptwysd’rt* “he recited praises”, Yaghnobi *waast* “noise of cattle”, Ossetic Digor *wasun* “to sing (birds), croak, neigh, bellow; whistle”, *wasəngæ* “cock”, Parachi *wāšina* id. (EWAI 1, 234–35; 2, 527–28, 547–48; Abaev 1989 4, 57–58; Bailey 1979, 279). The Indo-Iranian verb *\*uāc-* represents the lengthened full-ablaut grade, just as Latvian *Ūsiņš* is in the lengthened zero-ablaut grade. A non-lengthened counterpart probably appears in Vedic *vaśá-* “cow”, interpretable as “bellowing”. The semantic range of the verb *\*uāc-* joins not only various animals, birds or even insect through their sounds, but also priests singing prayers or incantators shouting magic formulae.

On the other hand, Latvian *Ūsiņš*, with variants *Ūsenis*, *Ūsinis*, can represent proto-Baltic *\*uśinja-* or *\*ośinja-* (the vacillation of Latvian *ū ~ uo* is attested e.g. in *dūre* vs. *duore* “hohler Waldbaum”; *ū* has been interpreted as an East Latvian dialectism – see ME 1, 529, 534). The theonym is analyzable as a merging of the roots *\*ūs°* with the cognates described above, and *\*ōs°*, reflected in Old Latvian *ōssa* “equa” (Karulis 1985, 19–21) with initial *\*ō-*, while Old Lithuanian *ašvā*, *ešva* “mare”, *ašvis* “foal”, *ašvienis* “stallion”, Old

Prussian *aswinan* “horse milk” (Toporov 1975, 135–138) are derivable from protoforms with initial *\*e-* which was later changed into *a-*. The proto-Baltic variant *\*ōsin°* corresponds to Old Indic *āśviná-* “belonging or devoted to the Aśvins” or *áśvina-* “like riders or horsemen”, a *vṛddhi*-formation from the theonym *Aśvín-* or the corresponding appellative “possessed of a horse, consisting of horses”. Important also is the same suffixal extension in *\*-in-*. Summing up, the Latvian deity *Ūsiņš* (~ *Ūsenis*, *Ūsinis*) ‘bee-god and patron of horses’ represents a functional and etymological counterpart of both the Vedic mythic personage *Auśijá-*, connected with “honeybee”, and divine twins Aśvins, connected with horses, respectively.

### Appendix

Harald Biezais (1976) has summarized the most important information about *Ūsiņš*, including a detailed survey of etymologies which were formulated after Stender (1783) and before Elizarenkova and Toporov (1964). Auniņš (1881, 41f.) was probably the first to identify *Ūsiņš* with a god of light. In his argumentation he mentioned the cock and eggs sacrificed to *Ūsiņš* as symbols of daylight and fertility respectively. Volters (1890, 21) and Ķiparts (1892, 260) compared the theonym *Ūsiņš* with the Vedic word *uśás-* “morning light, dawn, evening light”, personified as the daughter of heaven and sister of the Ādityas (cf. Monier-Williams 1899, 220). A decade later Endzelīns (1902, 330) offered quite a new solution: an adaptation of the German designation of a house-god, known e.g. from the Old High German nom. pl. *hūsingā* ‘penates’. But already six years later Endzelīns (1908, 134) rejected this etymology and preferred an adaptation of Russian *ovseń*, *useń* “the first day of spring” (originally *\*ovesenb*, cf. the dialect form *óbveseń* “time close to spring”, all from *vesna* “spring” – see Vasmer 1986 1, 59; 4, 170). Abandoning his own etymology, Auniņš (1913, 14f.) modified the later solution of Endzelīns, assuming a common origin of *Ūsiņš* and *ovseń*. On the other hand, Šmits (1903, 172) entered into this discussion with the opinion that the folklore texts presented by Auniņš are falsified. But he also changed his opinion, even twice. First he accepted the Russian origin of the theonym (1911, 20) and finally he returned to the German origin (1926, 43), in both cases in agreement with Endzelīns (1908 and 1902 respectively). The “light”-etymology was criticized already by Endzelīns (1908, 134) from the point of view of incompatibility of Latvian *ū* vs. Old Indic *u*. The most detailed critical arguments were formulated by Wolfgang

Schmid (1963, 134f.). He supported Endzelīns' criticism. To the comparison of *Ūsiņš* and *useñ* he added that if *useñ* was a derivative of the root \**aus-*, one would expect \*-x- here as in Russian *úxo* vs. Lithuanian *ausis* "ear". Finally Schmid offered a new etymology. The only possibility to connect *Ūsiņš* and *useñ* is to derive them from Baltic \**unšínias* and Slavic \**osъnъ* respectively. Their common starting point could be \**ŋk̑injo-*, which is compatible with the Germanic theonym known as *Ing* in the Old English song on runes, the Gothic rune-name *Enguz* attested in the Salzburg-Viennese Alcuin manuscript, and the god of fertility *Ingvi-Freyr* in Nordic tradition. To support his "fruitful" etymology, Schmid added Tocharian A *oñk*, B *eñkwe* "man", but this is usually interpreted as \**ŋk̑yo-* "mortal", cf. Old Irish *éc* "dead" < \**ŋk̑u-* (Adams 1999, 79). Schmid's solution is undoubtedly best argued from the point of view of historical phonetics, but does not answer the most important questions of semantics.

## LATVIŪ *Ūsiņš* 'BIČIŪ DIEVAS IR ARKLIŪ GLOBĒJAS'

### *Santrauka*

Straipsnyje nagrinėjamas latvių teonimas *Ūsiņš* istorinių šaltinių (Stribingijus, Stenderis) pagrindu ir folkloro tekstų perspektyvoje. Etimologinis ryšys su Vedų mitiniais personažais – *Ausijá*, siejama su naminėmis bitėmis, ir *Aśvinau*, dieviškaisiais dvyniais bei arklinėkais (pažodžiui „raiteliais“) – ir jų funkcijų paralelės rodo, kad šis teonimas gali liudyti abiejų minėtų funkcijų ir vardų sinkretizmą.

## REFERENCES

- Abaev, Vasilij Ivanovič 1989, *Istoriko-ėtimologičeskij slovar' osetinskogo jazyka* 4, Leningrad: Nauka.
- Adams, Douglas Q. 1999, *A dictionary of Tocharian B*, Amsterdam, Atlanta: Rodopi.
- Auniņš, Roberts 1881, Wer ist Uhssing?, *Magazin der Lettisch-Literarischen Gesellschaft* 16(2).
- Auniņš, Roberts 1905, Beiträge zum Uhsin-Mythus, *Magazin der Lettisch-Literarischen Gesellschaft* 20(3).
- Auniņš, Roberts 1913, Ueber den Uhsin-Mythus, *Magazin der Lettisch-Literarischen Gesellschaft* 20(4).
- Bailey, Harold W. 1979, *Dictionary of Khotan Saka*, Cambridge: University Press.

- Biezais, Haralds 1976, *Lichtgott der alten Letten*, Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell.
- Brugmann, Karl 1906, *Grundriss der vergleichenden Grammatik der indogermanischen Sprachen* 2(1), Strassburg: Trübner.
- Elizarenkova, Tat'jana Jakovlevna (red.) 1999, *Rigveda. Mandaly I-IV*, Moskva: Nauka.
- Elizarenkova, Tat'jana Jakovlevna, Vladimir Nikolaevič Toporov 1964, O drevneindijskoj Ušas (Ušas) i ee baltijskom sootvetstvii (Ūsiņš), in Vasilij Vasil'evič Struve (red.), *Indija v drevnosti*, Moskva: Nauka, 66–84.
- Endzelīns, Jānis 1902, Lettische Etymologien, *Beiträge zur Kunde der indogermanischen Sprachen* 27, 188–191.
- Endzelīns, Jānis 1908, Wehl reiz par Uhsiņu, *Rīgas latveeschu beedribas Zinību komisijas rakstu krahjums* 14, 134–138.
- EWAI – Manfred Mayrhofer, *Etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindoarischen* 1–3, Heidelberg: Winter, 1986–2001.
- Geldner, Karl Friedrich (Hrsg.) 1951–1957, *Der Rig-Veda. Aus dem Sanskrit ins Deutsche übersetzt und mit einem laufenden Kommentar versehen* 1–3 (= *Harvard Oriental Series* 33–35), Cambridge: Harvard University Press (= Peter Michel (Hrsg.), *Rig-Veda: das heilige Wissen Indiens* 1–2, Wiesbaden: Marixverlag, 2008).
- Griffith, Ralph T. H. 1889[1987], *The Hymns of the Rigveda* 1–2, New Delhi: Munshiram Manoharlal.
- Ivanov, Vjačeslav Vsevolodovič, Vladimir Nikolaevič Toporov, *Usin'sh*, in Sergej Aleksandrovič Tokarev (red.), *Mify narodov mira* 2, Moskva: Sovetskaja ěnciklopedija, 1988, 551.
- Jonval, Michel 1929, *Les chansons mythologiques lettonnes*, Paris: Picart.
- Karulis, Konstantīns 1985, Die Benennung der Stute im Lettischen (Zur Etymologie), *Lingua Posnaniensis* 27, 19–21.
- Ķiparts, Indriķis [Heinrich Wissendorff] 1892, Notes sur la mythologie des Lataviens (Lettons) 2–3, *Revue des traditions populaires* 7.
- Lange, Jacob 1772, *Vollständiges deutschlettisches und lettischdeutsches Lexicon*, Mitau.
- LPG – Wilhelm Mannhardt, *Letto-Preussische Götterlehre / Latviešu-Prūšu mitoloģija* (= *Magazin der Lettisch-Literarischen Gesellschaft* 21), Rīga: Latviešu Literāriskā biedrība, 1936.
- ME – K. Mühlenbacha *Latviešu valodas vārdnīca*, rediģējis, papildinājis, turpinājis (/ nobeidzis – 4) Jānis Endzelīns, 1–4, Rīga, 1923–32.
- Monier-Williams, Monier 1899[1993], *A Sanskrit-English dictionary*, Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass Publishers.
- Schmid, Wolfgang 1963, Zum lettischen Götternamen Ūsiņš, *Beiträge zur Namenforschung* 14, 130–137.
- Šmits, Pēteris [P. Schmidts] 1903, Latveeschu Draugu Beedribas rakstu Krahjums, *Mahjas Weesa Mehneschraksts* 9.

Šmits, Pēteris 1911, Aizņemtie vārdi, *Rīgas latveeschu beedribas Zinību komisijas rakstu krahjums* 14.

Šmits, Pēteris 1926, *Latviešu mītoloģija*, Rīgā: Valters un Rapa.

Stender, Friedrich 1783, *Lettische Grammatik*, Mitau: Johann Fried. Steffenhagen.

Toporov, Vladimir Nikolaevič 1975, *Prusskij jazyk* 1, Moskva: Nauka.

Vasmer, Max [Maks Fasmer] 1986, *Ētimologičeskij slovar' russkogo jazyka* 1–4 (translated from German by Oleg Nikolaevič Trubačev), Moskva: Progress, 1986–88.

Volters, Eduards [Ē. Вольтеръ] 1890, Materialy dlja ētnografii latyšškago plemenī Vitebskoj gubernii, *Zapiski imperatorskago russkago geografičeskago obščestva po otdēleniju ētnografii* 15.

Witzel, Michael, Toshifumi Gotō (Hrsg.) 2007, *Rig-Veda. Das heilige Wissen. Erster und zweiter Liederkreis*, Frankfurt: Verlag der Weltreligionen.

### **Acknowledgement**

The present study was prepared thanks to the grant of the The Czech Science Foundation (GAČR), P406/12/0655. My deepest thanks to Steven Young for his revision of the English.

Václav BLAŽEK

*Department of Linguistics and Baltic Studies*

*Faculty of Arts, Masaryk University*

*A. Nováka 1*

*CZ-60200 Brno*

*Czech Republic*

[blazek@phil.muni.cz]